

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,589	10/30/2003	Yong Chen	10992517-3	8317	
75	90 06/24/2005		EXAM	INER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			WEISS, HOWARD		
Intellectual Prop P. O. Box 27240	perty Administration		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Fort Collins, Co			2814		
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			i.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1			
Advisory Action	10/697,589	CHEN ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Howard Weiss	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		INST REPLT WAS FILED	WITHIN 1WO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS.	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	f the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling 						
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.		vill be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:			

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2814

13. Other: ____

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Requiring the second nanowire to be on and touching the insulating surface (new Claim 18) raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search.